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If you have a Linked Open Data set, you probably wonder:

“How can people query my Linked Data on the Web?”
“A public SPARQL endpoint gives live querying, but it’s costly and has availability issues.”

“Offer a data dump. but it’s not really Web querying: users need to set up an endpoint”

“Publish Linked Data documents. But querying is very slow...”
Querying Linked Data on the Web always involves trade-offs.

But have we looked at all possible trade-offs?
Querying Linked Data live on the Web becomes affordable by building simpler servers and more intelligent clients.
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The **Resource Description Framework** captures facts as triples.

```xml
<articles/www> a schema:ScholarlyArticle.
<articles/www> schema:name "The World-Wide Web".
<articles/www> schema:author </people/timbl>.
<articles/www> schema:author </people/cailliau>.
<articles/www> schema:author </people/groff>.
```
SPARQL is a language (and protocol) to query RDF datasources.

SELECT * WHERE {
    ?article a schema:ScholarlyArticle.
    ?author schema:name "Tim Berners-Lee".
}
Using a data dump, you can set up your own triple store and query it.

Install a local triple store.

Unzip and load all triples into it.

Execute the SPARQL query.
A SPARQL endpoint lets clients execute SPARQL queries over HTTP.

The server has a triple store.

The client sends a query to the server.

The server executes the query and sends back the results.
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Web interfaces act as gateways between clients and databases.

The interface hides the database schema.
The interface restricts the kind of queries.
No sane Web developer or admin would give direct database access.

The client must know the database schema. The client can ask any query.
SPARQL endpoints happily give direct access to the database.

The client must know the database schema. The client can ask any query.
Queryable Linked Data on the Web has a two-sided availability problem.

There are a few SPARQL endpoints because they are expensive to host.

Those endpoints that are on the Web suffer from frequent downtime.

The average public SPARQL endpoint is down for 1.5 days each month.
With **multiple SPARQL endpoints**, problems become worse.

1 endpoint has 95% availability.

    1.5 days down each month

2 endpoints have 90% availability.

    3 days down each month

3 endpoints have 85% availability.

    4.5 days down each month
Data dumps allow people to set up their own *private* SPARQL endpoint.

Users need a technical background and the necessary infrastructure.

What about casual usage and mobile devices?

We are not really querying the Web...
It is not an all-or-nothing world. There is a spectrum of trade-offs.

out-of-date data
high bandwidth
high availability
high client cost
low server cost

live data
low bandwidth
low availability
low client cost
high server cost

SPARQL endpoint

interface offered by the server
Linked Data Fragments are a uniform view on Linked Data interfaces.

Every Linked Data interface offers specific fragments of a Linked Data set.
Each type of Linked Data Fragment is defined by three characteristics.

- **data**: What triples does it contain?
- **metadata**: What do we know about it?
- **controls**: How to access more data?
Each type of Linked Data Fragment is defined by three characteristics.

**data dump**

- **data**: all dataset triples

**metadata**

- **number of triples, file size**

**controls**

- (none)
Each type of Linked Data Fragment is defined by three characteristics.

**SPARQL query result**

- **data**: triples matching the query
- **metadata**: (none)
- **controls**: (none)
We designed a new trade-off mix with low cost and high availability.
A **Triple Pattern Fragments** interface is low-cost and enables clients to query.

- live data
- high availability
- low server cost

---

data dump  Triple Pattern Fragments  SPARQL query results
A Triple Pattern Fragments interface is low-cost and enables clients to query.

- **data**: matches of a triple pattern (paged)
- **metadata**: total number of matches
- **controls**: access to all other fragments
DBpedia – Linked Data Fragments

Query DBpedia 2014 by triple pattern

subject:  
predicate:  dbpedia-owl:birthPlace  
object:  dbpedia:Italy

Find matching triples

Showing triples 1 to 101 of ±8141

*C3%Olivo_Crespi birthPlace Italy.
*C3%89douard_Fachleitner birthPlace Italy.
108 (artist) birthPlace Italy.
A._F._K._Organski birthPlace Italy.
Aaron_March birthPlace Italy.
Abdon_Sgarbi birthPlace Italy.
Abel_Gigli birthPlace Italy.
Abelardo_Olivier birthPlace Italy.
Abele_Blanc birthPlace Italy.
Achille_Compagnoni birthPlace Italy.

controls (other fragments)
metadata (total count)
data (first 100)
Triple patterns are not the final answer. No interface ever will be.

Triple patterns show how far we can get with simple servers and smart clients.
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Experience the trade-offs yourself on the **official DBpedia interfaces.**

- DBpedia data dump
- DBpedia Linked Data documents
- DBpedia SPARQL endpoint

**DBpedia Triple Pattern Fragments**

[fragments.dbpedia.org](http://fragments.dbpedia.org)
The **LOD Laundromat** hosts 650,000 Triple Pattern Fragment APIs.

Datasets are crawled from the Web, cleaned, and compressed to HDT.

This shows the potential of a very light-weight interface.

Centralization is not a goal though: we aim for distributed interfaces.
How can intelligent clients solve SPARQL queries over fragments?

Give them a SPARQL query.
Give them a URL of any dataset fragment.

They look inside the fragment to see how to access the dataset and use the metadata to decide how to plan the query.
Suppose a client needs to evaluate this query against a TPF interface.

```sparql
SELECT ?person ?city WHERE {
}

Fragment: http://fragments.dbpedia.org/2014/en
Triple Pattern Fragment servers enable clients to be intelligent.

Query DBpedia 2014 by triple pattern

subject: 

predicate: dbpedia-owl:birthPlace

object: dbpedia:Italy

Find matching triples

controls The HTML representation explains: “you can query by triple pattern”.
Triple Pattern Fragment servers enable clients to be intelligent.


controls The RDF representation explains: “you can query by triple pattern”.

Triple Pattern Fragment servers enable clients to be intelligent.

Showing triples 1 to 101 of ±8141

%C3%81lvaro_Crespi birthPlace Italy.
%C3%89douard_Fachleitner birthPlace Italy.
108_(artist) birthPlace Italy.

metadata The HTML representation explains: “this is the number of matches”.

Triple Pattern Fragment servers *enable* clients to be intelligent.

```xml
<#fragment> void:triples 8141.
```

**metadata** The RDF representation explains: “this is the number of matches.”
The server has triple-pattern access, so the client splits a query that way.

```
SELECT ?person ?city WHERE {
}

Fragment: http://fragments.dbpedia.org/2014/en
The client gets the fragments and inspects their metadata.

?person rdf:type dbpedia-owl:Scientist
  first 100 triples
  18.000

  first 100 triples
  625.000

  first 100 triples
  12
Execution continues recursively using metadata and controls.

?person rdf:type dbpedia-owl:Scientist


...
Executing this query with TPFs takes 3 seconds—\textit{consistently}.

\begin{verbatim}
SELECT ?person ?city WHERE {
}
\end{verbatim}

Results arrive in a streaming way, \textit{already after 0.5 seconds}.
The query throughput is lower, but resilient to high client numbers.
The server traffic is higher, but requests are significantly lighter.
Caching is significantly more effective, as clients reuse fragments for queries.
The server uses much less CPU, allowing for higher availability.

![server CPU usage per core](image)
Servers enable clients to be intelligent, so they remain simple and light-weight.
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Triple Pattern Fragments publication is absolutely straightforward.

Servers only need to implement a simple API.

A SPARQL endpoint as backend is not a necessity.

The compressed HDT format is very fast for triple patterns.
All software is available as open source.

Software

github.com/LinkedDataFragments

Documentation and specification

linkeddatafragments.org
Publishing a Linked Dataset involves only **three steps**.

- Convert your dataset to the compressed HDT format.
- Configure your dataset in the LDF server.
- Expose the LDF server on the public Web.
Convert your dataset to HDT for fast triple pattern lookups.

rdf2hdt -f turtle -i dataset.ttl -o dataset.hdt

or http://lodlaundromat.org/basket/
Install an LDF server and configure your datasource.

# install through Node.js
npm install -g ldf-server

# run 4 workers on port 5000
ldf-server config.json 5000 4
Install an LDF server
and configure your datasource.

```
{
    "title": "My Linked Data Fragments server",
    "datasources": {
        "dbpedia": {
            "title": "DBpedia 2015",
            "type": "HdtDatasource",
            "description": "DBpedia 2015 with an HDT back-end",
            "settings": { "file": "data/dbpedia2015.hdt" }
        }
    }
}
```
Set up a public Web server ("reverse proxy") with caching.

You can run the LDF server directly on port 80.

Alternatively, use Apache or NGINX as a proxy/cache in front.
Set up a public Web server ("reverse proxy") with caching.

server {
    server_name data.example.org;

    location / {
        proxy_pass http://127.0.0.1:5000$request_uri;
        proxy_set_header Host $http_host;
        proxy_pass_header Server;
    }
}


...or again, just
http://lodlaundromat.org/basket/
;-)
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